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This writing is indebted and seeks to respond to two films, each of which contain a scene, a view, of an extraordinary force that, in these particular manifestations, is known as Bobby Lee, an inveterate sociability in defiance of portraiture. The films are American Revolution 2 (1969), codirected by Mike Gray and Howard Alk for The Film Group, and Mike Gray’s The Organizer: A Preview of a Work in Progress (2007). They are both to be found on Facets Video dv 86930, 2007. This writing is in memory of Robert E. Lee III, December 16, 1942–March 21, 2017.

The following piece was originally published in 2016 as a contribution to the book Organize Your Own: The Politics and Poetics of Self-Determination Movements before being republished as the final chapter of Black and Blur (2017), the first book in Fred Moten’s consent not to be a single being trilogy.

Anyone familiar with the writing of Fred Moten knows it resists explanation, if not understanding outright. Rather than disqualifying, this only emphasizes the necessity of its study. In this brief essay, Moten seems to elaborate through Black Panther Bobby Lee a sociality of blackness and revolt that transcends what we know as the political. A sociality that, notably, upends the traditional afro-pessimist understanding of social death. Not opposed but perhaps apposed, Moten suggests, following Amiri Baraka, that it is instead white people who are socially dead, the project of whiteness one in the same with that of individuation. Black social life, on the other hand, is the “absence of the other” only possible in the entanglement of those who consent not to be a single being, in the blur.

Much of Moten’s work attempts to articulate these ideas of black social life and resistance to individuation, which cannot be elucidated in a single essay, in a single reading, or even by a single reader. You can’t improvise without contact, so let’s move.
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yards? They don’t know how to cut yards. But when he fix it it’s so pretty. I love for him to do my yard. But what I don’t like about him, he don’t wanna take no money. He cuts yards by touching, by a kind of tenderness sown on every weed, as if he serves at the weeds’ pleasure, as if passage is booked in love with the idea of taking her out to dinner. You can’t build no block club by not doing something for folks. How else can you know who are your own, the owned, the held, the held away, in shoes so they can walk to heaven, which is on the street where they live? Turning left and right toward itselflessness, gently refusing laying back on cuts, knowing how to cut yards, you go and start chattin’. There’s an endless, insistently previous preview of our work in progress that is held, handed, in touch, in feel(ing) and there’s no need to wonder about the ghostly individual and his view. Seeing himself everywhere and calling it politics, he would—in the power of his gaze—be complete and indivisible, out of touch in self-possessed, self-picturing monocularity. Meanwhile, Bobby Lee is this other thing in tactile dispersion, practicing that haptic, active, organic Phantasie where one sees, because one is, nothing at all. It’s nothing. It ain’t no thing. Selflessness ain’t about nobility or even generosity. The substance of its ethics is of no account, no count off, no one two, just a cut and then people be grooving. It’s not about friendship with others, either. Society is not friendly association with others; it’s friendly association without others, in the absence of the other, in the exhaustion of relational individuality, in consent not to be a single being, which is given in the sharpness of a differentiating touch, in the movement of hands, in caminhando. Bobby Lee is another name we give to the xeno-generosity of entanglement: the jam, that stone gas, a block club in a block experiment, an underpolitical block party, a maternal ecology of undercommon stock in poverty, in service, genius in black and blur.

Held in the very idea of white people—in the illusion of their strength, in the fantasy of their allyship, in the poverty of their rescue, in the silliness of their melancholy, in the power of their networks, in the besotted rejection of their impossible purity, in the repeated critique of their pitiful cartoon—is that thing about waiting for vacancy to shake your hand while the drone’s drone gives air a boundary. Don’t be a ghost, be a spirit, Baraka said, in a movie about white people, the socially dead. Can the socially dead organize their own? What are the socially dead, anyway? This is an ontological inquiry only insofar as it’s concerned with what it is, or what it would be, to have an ontological status. What it would be to have an ontological status, and know it, is what it would be to be a white person. In that condition, that particulate dream, which is the eternally prefatory’s tired aftermath, one is what it is to persist in having begun interminably to wait on being one. Such a one, that one who is not one but wants to be, is a ghost. How do you stop being a ghost? How do you stop being political in Lincoln Park? One must imaginatively practice oneself away into a whole other mode of service, Uptown’s collective head, speak ‘em up and say ‘em now.
executed in their own pronouncement of it and in their waiting, when the poor interdict an unowned theater of their own. You can’t love nobody but the poor, says Bobby Lee. For it is given to the poor not only to be the object of that sentence but also to object to it, in preferment of their own miraculous showing. The generality of that precarity is our privilege, if we let it claim us. What whiteness seeks to separate, blackness blurs by cutting, in touch. The movie about the movement keeps the secret it reveals. The ruptural caress is on the cutting room floor or, deeper still, underground. His hand waves in exasperation at people laying back on cuts. His hand presses someone’s shoulder. Uptown can’t improvise without contact, we not movin’, man, let’s move, we can’t move.

In the cut, laying back on cuts is given to dance in the laying on of hands, we can’t move without you, and we’re on the other side, in sufferance of an already given rupture, in lightly hugging someone’s neck just like a shawl. This practice of serrated handing, animation given in the disruption of the dead body’s protection, struggle shared in tousle and massage, message come in touch, having claimed them, having come to be claimed by them, having come out to show them, having come to see them to believe, is how the mountains became Bobby Lee’s own to organize, how they became what belongs to what’s over the edge of belonging. They had to bear some whole other way of bearing and being borne so they could leave their own (ghostliness) behind, becoming something other than what they were not, something other than what they were waiting on.

The panthers are here, are here, the panthers are here for uptown for anyone who lives in uptown.

We’re here for you, we’re here to be used by you, he says, deep in the history of the slave revolt. What the mountains were trying to relinquish was not a privilege but a death sentence, continually